

1 VENABLE LLP
 2 Douglas C. Emhoff (Cal. Bar No. 151049)
 3 Jeffrey M. Tanzer (Cal. Bar No. 129437)
 4 2049 Century Park East, Suite 2100
 5 Los Angeles, California 90067
 Telephone: (310) 229-9900
 Facsimile: (310) 229-9901

6 Attorneys for Defendants

7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 8 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

9
 10 RACHEL GASSO, on behalf of herself and
 11 all consumers similarly situated,

12 Plaintiffs,

13 v.

14 ALLSTAR MARKETING GROUP, INC.,
 15 ALLSTAR MARKETING GROUP, LLC,
 16 ALLSTAR MARKETING CORP., and
 17 DOES 1-25, inclusive,

18 Defendants.

19 Case No.: 07 CV 2235 BTM (BLM)

20 **JOINT MOTION FOR ORDER**
REMANDING ACTION TO STATE
COURT

21 [No hearing required]

22 TO THE COURT:

23 All of the parties hereto, through their respective counsel, jointly move for an
 Order remanding the above-captioned action to state court, based on the following
 stipulated facts:

24 1. On or about October 17, 2007, Plaintiff Rachel Gasso, on behalf of herself
 25 and consumers similarly situated (together, "Plaintiffs"), filed an action in the Superior
 26 Court of the State of California, County of San Diego, with the caption *Rachel Gasso,*
on behalf of herself and all consumers similarly situated v. Allstar Marketing Group,
Inc., Allstar Marketing Group, LLC, Allstar Marketing Corp., and Does 1-25, inclusive,

1 Case No. 37-2007-00057263-CU-BT-NC (hereafter, the “Action”). The Action is a
2 putative class action relating to the advertising and sale of “Auto Cool” products.

3 2. On or about November 26, 2007, Defendant Allstar Marketing Group,
4 LLC removed the Action to this Court. In its Notice of Removal of Action, Allstar
5 Marketing Group, LLC asserted, among other things, that this Court has original
6 jurisdiction over the Action pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C.
7 § 1332(d) (“CAFA”), because this matter is a putative class action in which the amount
8 in controversy exceeds the sum of \$5,000,000, exclusive of costs and interest, and is
9 between citizens of different states. Allstar Marketing Group, LLC included evidence
10 with its Notice of Removal of Action that it asserts demonstrates that revenues from
11 nationwide sales of Auto Cool were well in excess of \$5,000,000, restitution of which is
12 being sought by Plaintiffs in the Action.

13 3. On or about December 3, 2007, all of the named Defendants (collectively,
14 “Allstar”) filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs’ claims to the extent that they are being
15 asserted on behalf of putative class members who are not residents of California.

16 4. On or about December 18, 2007, Plaintiffs filed a Motion to Remand the
17 Action to state court. In the Motion to Remand, Plaintiffs asserted, among other things,
18 that they are not seeking to recover damages in excess of \$5,000,000, that the “putative
19 Plaintiff Class is limited to aggrieved purchasers of Auto Cool in California,” and that
20 they did not intend “the Complaint to encompass all U.S. citizens or seek restitution of
21 all monies paid therefrom.”

22 5. For the sake of judicial efficiency, the parties are now jointly requesting
23 the Court to remand the Action to state court, and to take off calendar the scheduled
24 January 25, 2008 hearing on Allstar’s Motion to Dismiss and Plaintiffs’ Motion to
25 Remand.

1 WHEREFORE, the parties hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

2 1. Based on certain representations by Defendant, Plaintiffs seek to recover
 3 less than \$5,000,000 in this Action, exclusive of costs and interests. Any amount
 4 awarded in excess of \$5,000,000 (if any), will be remitted by Plaintiffs.

5 2. Plaintiffs will not seek to have any class certified in this Action that
 6 includes nonresidents of California.

7 3. The Action may be remanded to state court.


8 4. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Plaintiffs reserve the right to file an
 9 amended complaint in the Action on or before May 1, 2008. Allstar will not oppose the
 10 filing of such an amended complaint (if any), but reserves the right to respond to it in
 11 any appropriate fashion. If such an amended complaint is filed, then stipulations 1, 2,
 12 and 3 above will become null and void, and Allstar shall have the right to remove the
 13 Action to Federal Court based on such amended complaint.

14 Based on the foregoing, the parties jointly request that the concurrently-submitted
 15 [Proposed] Order be entered by the Court.

17 Dated: January 10, 2008

LAW OFFICES OF ALEXANDER M.
SCHACK


By


Alexander M. Schack
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

22 Dated: January 10, 2008

VENABLE LLP

By


Jeffrey M. Tanzer
Attorneys for Defendants

1 PROOF OF SERVICE
23 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
4

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 2049 Century Park East, #2100, Los Angeles, California 90067.


On January 10, 2008, I served the foregoing document(s) described as **JOINT MOTION FOR ORDER REMANDING ACTION TO STATE COURT** on the interested parties in this action addressed as follows:

Alexander M. Schack, Esq. *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
 Lee T. Patajo, Esq.
 LAW OFFICES OF ALEXANDER M. SCHACK
 16870 West Bernardo Drive, Suite 400
 San Diego, CA 92127

- By placing true copies thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope(s) addressed as stated above.
- BY PERSONAL SERVICE (CCP §1011):** I delivered such envelope(s) by hand to the addressee(s) as stated above.
- BY MAIL (CCP §1013(a)&(b)):** I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing with the U.S. Postal Service. Under that practice such envelope(s) is deposited with the U.S. postal service on the same day this declaration was executed, with postage thereon fully prepaid at 2049 Century Park East, #2100 Los Angeles, California, in the ordinary course of business.

Executed on January 10, 2008 at Los Angeles, California

- (STATE)** I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.
- (FEDERAL)** I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the above is true and correct.



Jesse Rodriguez